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Abstract

This document provides additional guidance, in addition to the ILCD entry-level requirements\(^1\) (JRC 2012), in order to develop process data sets, compliant with the Environmental Footprint (EF) requirements. This document provides further details on more specific aspects and procedures related to EF compliant data sets, and it is divided in different sections:

1. The definition of the different process data set types allowed in the ILCD Format.
2. EF Reference packages released (description and where to find them)
3. How to structure and document data stocks
4. The procedure for EF data sets and data stocks\(^2\) updates, describing how to update and document changes in the future releases of EF data sets, replacing older versions with new ones.
5. Harmonization of level – 1 disaggregated data sets, including the level of disaggregation for the EF requirements, and the additional documentation needed.
6. Requirements for meta-data information of EF data sets, describing where and how to include the documentation.
7. Modelling requirements, specific for EF framework
8. Reviewer’s requirements and review report, including the minimum level of expertise for a reviewer (or a team), in order to be eligible for the EF data set’s review, and the review report template, with explanations on how to fill in the different fields.
9. Intellectual property rights with definitions of IPR transfer to the commission, to the final user and the harmonised EULA in the EF framework


\(^2\) Each node, managed by each data provider, can contain different data stocks with different data, and available under different conditions (e.g. free access, access only to registered users, etc.)
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Introduction

Since 2007, the Joint Research Centre, in collaboration with the DG Environment, developed the International reference Life Cycle Data (ILCD – JRC 2010b) format, and compliance system, responding to several policy needs over years, both at the EU and international level.

In 2013 the “communication from the commission to the European parliament and the council Building the Single Market for Green Products Facilitating better information on the environmental performance of products and organisations” (COM/2013/0196) endorsed the creation of the Product and Organisation Environmental Footprint methods (PEF and OEF, respectively, or generically EF) (2013/179/EU).

According to the new needs, and the updated methodological approach, the ILCD compliance system, as well as the format, the reference packages and the meta data to be used, had to be slightly reviewed.

This document provides additional guidance, beyond the documentation available for ILCD Life Cycle Inventory (LCI) data set development, on how to develop and model LCI data compliant with the EF requirements.

EF compliant Life Cycle Inventory (LCI) data sets shall be compliant with:

- EF ELEMENTARY flows: the nomenclature shall be aligned with the most recent version of the EF reference package available on the EF developer’s page at the following link http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/LCDN/developerEF.xhtml. Details to fulfil this aspect are available in the “ILCD Handbook – Nomenclature and other conventions” (JRC 2010a)\(^4\)

- For the PROCESS data sets and PRODUCT flow, the nomenclature shall be compliant with “ILCD Handbook – Nomenclature and other conventions” (JRC 2010a)

---

\(^3\) Note that ILCD compliance system exists next the EF compliance system. It is recommended to use the EF flow list (to be found in the EF package) for ILCD compliant datasets.

1. Process data set types differentiated in ILCD format

**Unit process, single operation**  
*Unit operation type unit process that cannot be further subdivided. Covers multi-functional processes of unit operation type.*  
Additional explanation: This data set type is used exclusively for process steps that cannot be usefully further subdivided in terms of data collection for delivering the functional unit or reference flow. Examples are unit operations or grouped operations in chemical engineering, such as mixing, chemical reactions, crushing, and transport etc., but also machines with either only one function or product output, or for multifunctional processes - where the co-functions/products all undergo the same processing steps. An injection moulding machine, a truck transport, a catalytic cracker plant at a refinery, and the farming of a crop are concrete examples. “Unit process, single operation” processes are hence undistorted among co-functions (in contrast to many “Unit process, black box”) and allow for a more reliable review, even though they may combine more than one technical components and steps.

**Unit process, black box**  
*Process-chain or plant level unit process. This covers horizontally averaged unit processes across different sites. Covers also those multi-functional unit processes, where the different co-products undergo different processing steps within the black box, hence causing allocation-problems for this data set.*

Additional explanation: Examples are plants and process chains or whole production sites (“gate-to-gate” processes) where co-functions/products do NOT all undergo the same process steps, but interim products are taken out, while others are further processed. A classic example is the oil refinery, with e.g. Butane is a product of the first plant, the atmospheric distillation plant, which hence receives very little energy consumption and related emissions, while low-sulphur Diesel or Gasoline are two of the last products that underwent on different routes up to a dozen of plants inside the refinery, with a several times higher energy consumption and emission per product amount. “Unit process, black box”, can hence be both vertically aggregated and/or horizontally averaged, are often distorted among co-functions/products and moreover can less reliably be reviewed, given that they combine several processes vertically or horizontally.

![Figure 1. Unit process, single operation vs Unit process, black box (taken from the ILCD handbook – General guide for LCA, figure 7, page 75).](http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/LCDN/downloads/ILCD_Format_1.1_Documentation/ILCD_Common_EnumerationValues.html)
**LCI result**

Aggregated data set of the complete or partial life cycle of a product system that next to the elementary flows (and possibly not relevant amounts of waste flows and radioactive wastes) lists in the input/output list exclusively the product(s) of the process as reference flow(s), but no other goods or services. E.g. cradle-to-gate and cradle-to-grave data sets. Check also the definition of "Partly terminated system".\(^3\)

Additional explanation: Examples are process nets that include all human upstream activities that transform natural resources into a desired product/function (or functions/products, in case of multifunctional data sets). Such aggregated data sets (also named Ecoprofile, System process) provide the life cycle inventory for the provision of e.g. 1 kg Corn at the farm gate, 1 kWh low voltage electricity delivery to the consumer, 1 m\(^2\) wool carpet incl. maintenance and end-of-life treatment, or of 1 MW wind power plant of a specific model and installed at the foreseen site. Also end-of-life treatment chains/nets of a defined amount of a defined waste (i.e. waste collection, pre-treatment and treatment such as recycling, energy recovery, landfilling of the remains) are an LCI result data sets, as long as exclusively the to-be-treated waste is the only non-elementary flow. (Note that in all cases, radioactive waste flows and quantitatively irrelevant amounts of other waste flows are allowed to stay in the inventory.)

![Figure 2. LCI result](image)

**Partly terminated system**

Aggregated data set with however at least one product flow in the input/output list that needs further modelling, in addition to the reference flow(s). E.g. a process of an injection moulding machine with one open "Electricity" input product flow that requires the LCA practitioner to saturate with an Electricity production LCI data set (e.g. of the country where the machine is operated). Note that also aggregated process data sets that include relevant amounts of waste flows for which the waste management has not been modelled yet are "partly terminated system" data sets.\(^3\)

Additional explanation: This data set type is used to provide largely complete (almost) LCI result data sets that require (and allow) to exclusively connect one or a few specific background data sets, while all other upstream (and/or downstream) processes are already included and aggregated. This reduces modelling and review effort and increases reproducibility, while it naturally and intentionally limits other changes to the data set.

**Note:** this is the data set type to be used for EF “partly disaggregated at level-1”.
Parameterized data sets in which part or all of the exchange values, scale through some characteristic parameter of the output product or service. Modelling variables, to be detailed in the documentation, in the section “mathematical model”.

The mathematical relations should represent the relevant changes of the inventory in dependency of the influential parameters, which can be e.g. technical, management, or others. This can include quantitative and qualitative relationships among inventory flows.
2. EF reference packages released

The EF reference package is released by the European Commission and is distributed in form of a compressed ZIP archive, containing a set of files and folders in accordance with the ILCD format specification as follows (folder names in **bold**):

- **ILCD** (containing the reference folders with XML files external docs and other files)
  - `contacts` (files defining contacts in ILCD format – XML)
  - `external_docs` (files linked in other XMLs, e.g. reports in PDF, images, etc.)
  - `flowproperties` (files containing the flow properties linked to flows – XML)
  - `flows` (Files containing elementary, product, waste and other flows – XML)
  - `lciamethods` (files containing the list of CFs for each impact category –XML)
  - `processes` (files containing process data sets – XML)
  - `sources` (files defining sources in ILCD format – XML)
  - `unitgroups` (conversion factors from default to other units – XML)
- **META-INF** (contains MAINFEST.MF, a technical file of no relevance for users)
- **Other** (contains additional files: validation profile, Look@LCI configuration file and package converter)
- **Schemas** (contains XSD files with the XML schemas of the ILCD format)
- **Stylesheets** (contains XSL files defining the styles applied to XML data)

The reference package contains also functional configuration files or tools related to the package itself, available in the “other” folder:

- validation profile for the Validation Tool
- configuration file for Look@LCI 6
- an automatic converter to convert process data sets from the previous version to the new version

Each version is released together with an excel file resuming the content of each package, and with a change log that reports the differences between the latest release and the previous version.

The two EF reference packages released by the EC are available following this link:


**EF 3.0** reference package (December 2018), including all the reference files + additional documentation in ILCD structure. It includes the configuration files for validation and analysis with Look@LCI 7 and automatic converter from EF 2.0 to EF 3.0. New PFECRs/OEFSRs developed during the EF transition phase shall use this EF reference package in their underlying data sets, as well as EF studies using these new PFECRs.

**EF 2.0** reference package (June 2018), contains the same items available in EF 3.0, but referred to the previous version, except for the automatic converter. Part of the nomenclature, methods and other items are of course different. This reference package is used in the PFECRs/OEFSRs developed during the EF Pilot phase and shall be used by all EF studies using these PFECRs.

---

6 The reference EF package is mandatory for the execution of Look@LCI, as explained in the dedicated guidance [http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/permalink/TR_look_at_lci.pdf](http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/permalink/TR_look_at_lci.pdf) the software automatically picks from the package the methods (CFs for calculation) and the configuration file for the normalization and weighting factors for different impact categories.

3. Procedure for updates of EF data sets and data stocks

3.1. Conditions to update EF data sets and data stocks

The EF data sets and data stocks (i.e. the homogeneous stocks of data set within a node in ILCD or EF registry\(^8\)) shall be updated in the following cases:

**Case A)** changes in process data sets, without changing the EF reference package\(^9\)
- **A1**- affecting core content* of the data
- **A2**- not affecting the core content* of the data

**Case B)** update of the EF reference package that do or do not lead to changes in the core content of the data

*core content is defined as: changes in LCI, DQRs, LCIA Results and process name, or any ‘semantic’ change in core objects (e.g. change in flow properties, or units etc.).

The data providers are free to upload new data into the nodes they manage, with no limitation in time.

3.2. Procedure for updates that affect the core content of the data set (case A1)

The “Overall change log” template (available at [http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/LCDN/developerEF.xhtml](http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/LCDN/developerEF.xhtml)) shall be used to summarize all the changes occurred in the entire data stock. The file name of the overall change log shall be the name of the data stock pre-update.

*Data set-specific change logs* (“Core changes template” available at [http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/LCDN/developerEF.xhtml](http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/LCDN/developerEF.xhtml) ) shall be filled in for each single changed data set, in order to document the changes occurred. The Excel template differentiates between main changes (affecting the core content) and ‘other’ changes (to document minor changes that occurred). The file name of the data set-specific change log shall be the UUID of the data set pre-update.

*Two exemplary files, for the instructions on how to fill the information in the change logs, are available at:*


As the core content has changed due to corrections made by the data provider, the new data sets shall: (i) have an updated review (full or partial, i.e. update only the review of

\(^8\) See this link for further info: [http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/LCDN/developer.xhtml](http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/LCDN/developer.xhtml)

\(^9\) The package in ILCD structure provided by the European Commission, that includes reference items such as elementary flows, flow properties, unit groups, methods etc. available at [http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/LCDN/developerEF.xhtml](http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/LCDN/developerEF.xhtml). Shortly named 'EF package'.
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the parts that changed), (ii) carry a different UUID, (iii) be hosted on the same data stock\(^\text{10}\), and (iv) the superseded data sets shall be linked into the new data set (Under Administrative information > publication and ownership > preceding data set version). The name of the updated EF data set shall be updated, starting with “Updated+year” and if needed other indicators in the name that differentiate the data set from the previous version. The original data set (pre-update) shall remain available on the node.

The provider shall indicate the changes on the landing page of the node, together with the excel files with the overall + data set-specific change logs (or in a specific repository linked from the landing page). The provider shall register the updated data sets in the EF registry (LCDN - [http://lcdn.jrc.ec.europa.eu/EFRegistry/](http://lcdn.jrc.ec.europa.eu/EFRegistry/)) and notify the EC via the functional email env-environmental-footprint@ec.europa.eu.

3.3. Procedure for updates from the data provider that do not affect the core content of the data set (case A2)

In case A2 (no core changes made by the data provider), the updated data sets shall: (i) keep its original UUID, (ii) be hosted on its original data stock, and (iii) have an updated version number. The original data set (pre-update) shall remain available on the node (i.e. the data provider shall not delete the old version of the data set).

The “Overall change log” template (available at [http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/LCDN/developerEF.xhtml](http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/LCDN/developerEF.xhtml)) shall be used only to summarize all the changes occurred in the entire data stock. The file name of the overall change log shall be the name of the data stock (pre-update) and shall be shared on the node.

3.4. Procedure for updates due to the EF reference package update (case B)

In case B (any change due to the adaptation to a new EF reference package) the updated data sets shall: (i) keep their original name and UUID, (ii) have an updated version number, (iii) be hosted on a new data stock, and (iv) the original data set shall be linked into the new data set (Under Administrative information > publication and ownership > preceding data set version). The data set shall be updated declaring compliance with the new EF reference package. In the field “compliance declarations”, the compliance system name shall be filled in by selecting the corresponding source data set (available on the EF node under the ‘representative product’ data stocks) and the compliance requirements shall be filled in accordingly. The new data stock shall carry the same name of the previous one, but the name shall start with the reference package+version (e.g. “Representative product” data stock, converted from EF 2.0 to EF 3.0, will be stored in a data stock named “EF3_0_representative_products” while the previous version of the data stock was “EF2_0_representative_products”). The original data sets (pre-update) shall remain available on the node, in its original data stock.

The “Overall change log” template (available at [http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/LCDN/developerEF.xhtml](http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/LCDN/developerEF.xhtml)) shall be used only to summarize all the changes occurred in the entire data stock or an entire data package release. The file name of the overall change log shall be the name of the pre-updated data stock.

\(^{10}\) If the majority of the data package in the data stock is updated, a new data stock might be necessary.
Note that all data sets within the same data stock shall be updated with the same version of the EF reference package and a complete data package shall be provided in each data stock. The provider shall indicate the changes on the landing page of the node, together with the overall change log excel file (or in a specific repository linked from the landing page). The provider shall register the updated data sets in the EF registry (LCDN - http://lcdn.jrc.ec.europa.eu/EFRegistry/) and notify the EC via the functional email env-environmental-footprint@ec.europa.eu

3.5. **Procedure for updates for tendered EF data sets**

Data tenders following specific Terms of Reference launched by the European Commission, are usually referable to case B, therefore only a single overall change log is required.

If other changes are made by the providers affecting the content of process data sets, beyond the changes due to the adaptation to a new EF reference package, then the situation can reflect cases A (A1 or A2 depending on the entity and type of changes). In this case, the above described procedures for the specific cases have to be adopted.
4. Harmonization of EF data sets partly disaggregated at level-1

EF data sets can be developed both as aggregated data sets (type: LCI result) and partly disaggregated (at least at level-1) (type: partly terminated system). Figure 3 provides a graphical representation of what is meant with EF data sets partly disaggregated at level-1.

As a minimum, the central data set shall be aggregated at level-1 with the different inputs and outputs structured as follow (see figure 4):

- Sub-processes for energy: to be modelled as input(s), one single sub-process per energy dataset, including any potential energy conversion of fuels and thus direct emissions, as “steam from [name of fuel]”, or “process heat from [name of fuel]”;
- Sub-processes for transport: to be modelled as input(s), one single sub-process for each transported material/ingredient/component and transport dataset entering the gate of the central dataset modelled. Meaning, each different transport dataset (e.g. lorry euro 4 or lorry euro 5) shall be modelled as a different dataset input. The transported weight and distance shall be modelled as a combination of two separate parameters at the level of the central dataset;
- Sub-processes in case system expansion is used as allocation: Product flow used to model avoided product systems shall be modelled as output(s) and indicate “Avoided product system” in the field ”Type of dataset”. Use the original datasets and deliver a simple inverter (dummy process in the figure below) as modelling process, i.e. one that changes the inventory number of the connecting product flow from plus to minus (e.g. 1.5 kg to -1.5 kg).
- Sub-processes for the main materials input to the product in scope, to enable the modelling of virgin or recycled materials input and the adaptation of the recycled content (R₁ value of the Circular Footprint Formula).
- One aggregated sub-process for all remaining processes that represent the background system (blue box in the figure below), to be modelled as input;
- The output product flow. Multiple (non-identical) output product flows are allowed, but one reference output product flow shall be defined in the field “Reference to Reference flow”. This can be an input or output flow and shall be defined in the dataset;
- One sub-process with all direct emissions and resource inputs (e.g., land use, water use) of the foreground system constituting the final output product;

11 The notation “level-1 data set” is also used in this document
Further requirements for level-1 data sets are:

Duplicated input or output elementary flows are allowed. For dataset users, the European Commission will provide a tool to merge multiple elementary flows with the same UUID (Universal Unique IDentifier) and same location code. Flows with the same UUID but different location codes will not be merged. The tool will also concatenate the general comments behind the flows\textsuperscript{12}, and report in brackets the mean value of each of the original single flows.

Duplicated input or output product/waste flows are not allowed. The flow type 'others' shall not be used.

The European Commission is developing an extended ILCD format. The goal of this format is to enable the exchange of disaggregated datasets among software in a more automated way. Once the new format is available, additional documentation will be provided.

\textsuperscript{12} Note for the dataset provider of duplicated flows, the concatenated comment field has a limit of 500 characters and everything beyond that limit will be cut off. Therefore the length of comments in duplicated flows shall be limited, and ideally be about 450 characters (to leave space for the amounts) divided by the number of flows to be merged.
5. Requirements for meta-data information in EF data sets

5.1. General information

This section provides binding requirements on the meta-data information in EF data sets. These are in addition to the ILCD-Entry Level requirements. The ILCD data format documentation contains detailed descriptions of the individual format fields and is available online at [http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/LCDN/developerILCDDataFormat.xhtml](http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/LCDN/developerILCDDataFormat.xhtml). This documentation shall be used to avoid mistakes in data filling such as exceeding the number of allowed characters or setting a wrong value in a field. Unless specific guidance is given below, please refer to the definitions and explanations given there.

This chapter is divided in three sections:

1. Information relevant for all data sets
2. Additional information relevant for disaggregated data sets in ILCD format
3. Information to be provided in supporting sub-processes

5.1.1. Conventions

Type of data set refers to a field in the ILCD data format.

Value from enumerated list refers to a value from an enumerated list for a specific format field, i.e. the entry is to be selected from a predefined and fixed list of possible entries.

Free text value refers to a free text value for a specific format field

5.2. Information relevant for all data sets

The ILCD scheme and format specifications already define part of the information to be filled also in the EF data sets. Beyond the information specified in the sub-chapters of this section the following information shall be mandatorily filled according to ILCD and format specification:

- **Name** and **UUID** shall be reported under the section “process information > key data set information”, the name shall be structured according to ILCD rules (base name – Treatment, standards, routes – mix and location types – quantitative product or process properties)
- **Dataset Version** and **date of last revision** shall be defined and updated in case of changes under “administrative information > publication and ownership”. The version number is structured with three sets of digits XX.XX.XXX where the first two digits refer to major updates, the second two digits to minor revisions and error corrections etc., the third three digits are intended for automatic and internal counting of versions during data set development. The date of revision shall be formatted according to ISO 8601 standard in date-time format. *E.g. YYYY-MM-DDTHH:MM:SS+HH:MM*¹⁴ (the “T” separate the date from the time, and after the “+” is reported the time zone respect to GMT)
- Quantitative properties and **Functional unit** shall be reported under “process information > quantitative reference” section. Under the same section also the **Reference To Reference flow** shall be defined (see chapter 4 and 6 for further details)
- Cut-off criteria and principles, where applicable, shall be described in “modelling and validation > Data sources, treatment, and representativeness” section under

---


¹⁴ [https://www.iso.org/iso-8601-date-and-time-format.html](https://www.iso.org/iso-8601-date-and-time-format.html)
Data cut-off and completeness principles and Deviation from data cut-off and completeness principles / explanations

- All the information on Type of review and Reviewer name and institution shall be described under “modelling and validation > validation field”, the reviewer name and institution field can be a multiple entry.
- As regards the administrative information and Publication and Ownership the level of recommendation of the format specification for process datasets shall be followed (beyond the specific sub-fields better specified in this chapter).
- intended applications field shall be documented.
- workflow and publication status shall be documented.
- The LCI method and deviations if any, shall be described under “modelling and validation > LCI method and allocation” in the fields LCI method principle, Deviation from LCI method principle / explanations, LCI method approaches, and Deviations from LCI method approaches / explanations.
- License type shall be declared under “administrative information > publication and ownership”.
- Under use advice specific methodological advice for data set users that requires attention. E.g. on inclusion/exclusion of recycling e.g. in material data sets, specific use phase behaviour to be modelled, and other methodological advices. Shall be documented, if any.
- Flow diagrams of the system boundaries, including sub processes where relevant (see chapter 5.3) shall be linked (with a source dataset + pictures or graphs) under “process information > technological representativeness” in the field Flow diagram(s) or picture(s).

5.2.1. Data set LCA report, background info

In the field Data set LCA report, background info, if a PEF study is performed, the PEF report shall be referenced.

5.2.2. Type of process

The available options for the field Type of data set are explained in detail in chapter 1 “Process data set types differentiated in ILCD format”.

Specifically in the EF context, for aggregated data sets, use LCI result, and for partly disaggregated data sets, use Partly terminated system.

5.2.3. Geographical representativeness

To refer to the complete EU, the following string has to be used: “EU+EFTA” for the single countries the “two-letter ISO country code” for countries, has to be used.

5.2.4. Reference year

From the ILCD data format specification: “Start year of the time period for which the data set is valid (until year of ‘Data set valid until.’). For data sets that combine data from different years, the most representative year is given regarding the overall environmental impact. In that case, the reference year is derived by expert judgment. “The reference year shall not be changed for data set updates (i) due to a change in EF reference package or (ii) that do not affect the LCI content.

---

15 See “alpha-2 codes” at https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/#search, additional codes are added, in EF framework namely: PT-MA (Madeira), ES-CA (Canary Islands), BQ-SE Bonaire Saint Eustatius, BQ-SB Bonaire Saba.
5.2.5. Default parameter values
For parameterized data sets, the respective default value shall be given for each parameter (e.g., the utilization ratio in transport data sets) in the Comment, units, defaults field within the Mathematical model section.

5.2.6. Regionalized elementary flows
All locations are allowed for modelling of elementary flows in the impact categories Land Use and Water Use. In all other cases, regionalization is only allowed for substances and countries reported in annex I.

5.2.7. Duplicated elementary flows
Duplicated elementary input/output flows are allowed. The source of the flow shall be documented in the comment field next to the duplicated flows.

5.2.8. Allocation
The allocation methods listed in LCI method approaches should reflect those used for both the foreground system and background systems. In the corresponding explanation field Deviations from LCI method approaches / explanations detailed information shall be given, indicating separately the allocation used in the foreground and background system.

5.2.9. Element content
The water content and the biogenic carbon content at factory gate (physical content) shall be reported only if different from zero. If derived from native forest, it shall report that the corresponding carbon emissions shall be modelled with the elementary flow ending with ‘Land use change’. This information characterizes the product (and not the process). Therefore, it needs to be stored in the reference flow (which is a product or waste flow) by means of individual flow properties. The element content is modelled by referencing the corresponding flow property (e.g. “carbon content”) and giving a value (which corresponds to that flow property’s reference unit, which usually should be kg) in the flow data set that represents the product flow (see Figure 5 as example of the actual data set displayed on the node, the reference flow is always available as a hyperlink as shown in figure, and figure 6 that explains the modelling). The example below shows the relationships for a product flow with a carbon content of 0.43 kg and a water content of 0 kg.

![Process data set overview page](image)

*Figure 5. Hyperlink to the reference flow in the process data set*

---

16 Native forests – represents native or long-term, non-degraded forests. Definition adapted from table 8 in Annex V C (2010)3751 to Directive 2009/28/EC. In principle, this definition excludes short term forests, degraded forests, managed forest, and forests with short-term or long-term rotations.
When following this link, the product flow data set can be inspected, which in addition to its mandatory reference flow property can have an arbitrary number of additional flow properties, as shown in figure 7. In this case biogenic carbon and water contents are declared.

**5.2.10. CFF Parameters**

The Circular Footprint Formula (CFF) parameters used shall be provided in the existing field *Deviations from LCI method approaches / explanations* as textual description. It shall be defined where the CFF has been applied. The CFF parameters shall be reported unless they are zero (e.g., R1=0) and the landing page shall provide a general documentation that parameters not documented are equal to zero.
5.2.11. Modelling constants
The field *modelling constants* shall indicate as a minimum how biogenic carbon has been modelled, if emission off-setting is applied and if capital goods are excluded.

5.2.12. LCA methodology report
The source data set of the most recent version of the PEF method shall be referenced in the field *LCA methodology report*. If relevant, also the source data set of the applicable PEFCR shall be referenced.

5.2.13. Data sources used for this data set
In the field *Data sources used for this data set* the EF data stocks plus the data source(s) used for gap filling are to be referenced. Each shall be referenced as one source data set of the respective data stock. EF compliant data stock sources are usually available at [http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/EF-node/](http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/EF-node/).

In order to get the proper source:
- Go to the EF-node of the EC (see link above)
- Go to the "representative product" data stock (using the top right drop-down menu) referred to desired EF reference package (e.g. EF2.0_representative_products for data stocks, developed in compliance with EF 2.0).
- Select "sources" from the left side-bar,
- use the search by name, typing “EF X.X Compliant” where X.X is the version of the EF reference package desired (e.g. EF 2.0 compliant)
- select the source(s) from the displayed list as shown in the following screenshot

![Figure 8. Search of sources in the Representative Product data stock](example.png)

5.2.14. Reference to supported Impact Assessment methods:
Add exclusively and individually references/hyperlinks to the source data sets of the EF impact categories applied.
### 5.2.15. Compliance

For EF compliant data sets, the following 6 compliance systems shall be listed (no others can be listed):

**Table 1. Compliance system and related source datasets allowed in EF scheme**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compliance declaration</th>
<th>Source dataset UUID</th>
<th>Level of compliance aspect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PEFCR guidance 6.3(^{17}) Or OEFSR guidance 6.3(^{17}) Or Suggestions for updating the PEF method 2019 Or Suggestions for updating the OEF method 2019</td>
<td>e2efceb8-078c-4cee-9885-7135d35e4f10 Or bb3e3630-543f-4210-97b0-2a23e40c0c0 Or afc1ce43-e800-494b-aad4-46b9e8ea74da Or 37d1d8af-0d67-4386-b1d1-a096eece3274</td>
<td>All compliance aspects shall be set at “Fully compliant” (Note: those sources are used to declare the overall PEF/OEF compliance)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The PEFCR used, if applicable</td>
<td>Use the dedicated source data set available in the “sources” section of the package specific data stocks at: <a href="https://epica.jrc.ec.europa.eu/EF-node/">https://epica.jrc.ec.europa.eu/EF-node/</a></td>
<td>All compliance aspects shall be set at “Fully compliant”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILCD Data Network entry-level</td>
<td>d92a1a12-2545-49e2-a585-55c25997756</td>
<td>The quality compliance shall be set at “Not defined”. All other aspects shall be set at “Fully compliant”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 14040</td>
<td>1ea48531-e397-4ca7-ac08-0564fa11826</td>
<td>The nomenclature, documentation and quality compliance shall be set at “Not defined”. All other aspects shall be set at “Fully compliant”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 14044</td>
<td>1adb438d-4a8b-49f9-885e-0a66da3c0f2a</td>
<td>The nomenclature, review and quality compliance shall be set at “Not defined”. All other aspects shall be set at “Fully compliant”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Footprint 2.0 Or Environmental Footprint 3.0 Or Any future reference package release</td>
<td>c2633e08-f120-4def-b761-a63acbd75d8c Or 35b0b56-60e6-4df7-869d-a811830386d9 Or ........</td>
<td>Reference package specific compliance (flow list). The nomenclature shall be set as “Fully compliant” while other aspect shall be set as “Not defined” (Note: to declare the reference package used / flow list compliance)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All these source data sets (potentially except for the one of your specific PEFCR, and EF 3.0, the latter available in the specific data stock for EF3_0 representative products) are available in the sources section, at:


please make sure to include them in your ILCD/eILCD archives when providing a data set. The **compliance declarations** shall be reported under ”modelling and validation” field.

### 5.2.16. LCIA results

The LCIA results shall be reported in the **LCIA results** section, for all the EF impact categories at the end of the XML structure, in process data sets. They shall be written as scientific notation.

---

\(^{17}\) In the EF 2.0 datasets tendered by the EC, the following compliance has been used (with exactly the same meaning): PEF/OEF implementation, mandatory data 2016-2021 [source dataset UUID: 66279383-8dc3-46c1-80d1-99866cc01e6a].
5.2.17. Data quality criteria and rating

The DQR of a data set shall be calculated based on the equation F.1\textsuperscript{18}:

\[
DQR = \frac{Te_{R} + Gr + Ti_{R} + P}{4}
\]  
[Equation F.1]

Where \(Te_{R}\) is the Technological Representativeness, \(Gr\) is the Geographical Representativeness, \(Ti_{R}\) is the Time Representativeness and \(P\) is the Precision. The representativeness (technological, geographical and time-related) characterises to what degree the processes and products selected are depicting the system analysed, while the precision indicates the way the data is derived and related level of uncertainty.

The DQR shall be calculated before any aggregation of sub-processes or elementary flows is performed. In particular, the procedure shall be applied before the creation of the aggregated sub-process of the level-1 disaggregated data set.

For secondary data sets (e.g., developed by database providers) the following procedure applies:

1) Select the most relevant sub-processes and direct (foreground) elementary flows that account for at least 80% (single score) of the total environmental impact of the secondary data set, listing them from the most contributing to the least contributing one;

2) Calculate the DQR criteria \(Te_{R}, Ti_{R}, Gr\) and \(P\) for each most relevant process and each most relevant direct (foreground) elementary flow. The values of each criterion shall be assigned based on

2. A) Each most relevant foreground/direct elementary flow consists of the amount and elementary flow naming (e.g. 40 g carbon dioxide). For each most relevant foreground/direct elementary flow, evaluate the 4 DQR criteria named \(Te_{R}-EF\), \(Ti_{R}-EF\), \(Gr-EF\), \(P_{EF}\). For example, evaluate the timing of the flow measured, for which technology the flow was measured and in which geographical area.

2. B) Each most relevant process is a combination of activity data and the secondary data set used. For each most relevant process, the 4 DQR criteria are calculated as follows: (i) \(Ti_{R}\) and \(P\) shall be evaluated at the level of the activity data (named \(Ti_{R}-AD\), \(P_{AD}\)), while (ii) \(Te_{R}, Ti_{R}\) and \(Gr\) shall be evaluated at the level of the secondary data set used (named \(Te_{R}-SD\), \(Ti_{R}-AD\) and \(Gr-SD\)). As \(Ti_{R}\) is evaluated twice, the mathematical average of the activity data and secondary data set represents the \(Ti_{R}\) of the most relevant process.

3) Calculate the environmental contribution of each most-relevant process and elementary flow to the total environmental impact of all most-relevant processes and elementary flows, in % (weighted using the 16 EF impact categories). For example, the newly developed data set has only two most relevant processes, contributing in total to 80% of the total environmental impact of the data set:

\textsuperscript{18} The EF data sets tendered during the pilot phase might apply a different approach, like expert judgement. The approach used is clarified in the respective data set meta data information.
• Process 1 carries 30% of the total data set environmental impact. The contribution of this process to the total of 80% is 37.5% (the latter is the weight to be used).
• Process 2 carries 50% of the total data set environmental impact. The contribution of this process to the total of 80% is 62.5% (the latter is the weight to be used).

4) Calculate separately the $\bar{T}_e, \bar{G}_R, \bar{T}_i$, $\bar{P}$ for the secondary data set as the weighted average of each criteria of the most relevant sub-processes and most relevant direct elementary flows. The weight is the relative contribution (in %) of each most relevant process and direct elementary flow calculated in step 3.

5) Calculate the total DQR of the secondary data set using equation I.1, where $\bar{T}_e, \bar{G}_R, \bar{T}_i$, $\bar{P}$ are the weighted averages calculated as specified in point 4. In order to be EF compliant, each single criteria in cannot be higher than 3.0.

Table 2. Quality rating for the data quality criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality rating</th>
<th>$P_{EF}$ and $P_{AD}$</th>
<th>$T_{IR}$ and $T_{IR-ad}$</th>
<th>$T_{IR-SD}$</th>
<th>$T_{EF}$-SD and $T_{AD}$-SD</th>
<th>$G_{EF}$ and $G_{AD}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Measured/calculated and verified</td>
<td>The data (collection date) can be maximum 2 years old with respect to the &quot;reference year&quot; of the data set.</td>
<td>The &quot;reference year&quot; of the data set falls within the time validity of the secondary data set</td>
<td>Technology aspects have been modelled exactly as described in the title and metadata. Without any significant need for improvement.</td>
<td>The processes included in the data set are fully representative for the geography stated in the metadata. E.g. the represented country differs but the processes are sufficient representative for the geography stated in the &quot;location&quot; indicated in the metadata.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Measured/calculated/literature and plausibility checked by reviewer</td>
<td>The data (collection date) can be maximum 4 years old with respect to the &quot;reference year&quot; of the data set.</td>
<td>The &quot;reference year&quot; of the data set is maximum 2 years beyond the time validity of the secondary data set</td>
<td>Technology aspects are very similar to what described in the title and metadata with need for limited improvements. For example: use of generic technologies’ data instead of modelling all the single plants.</td>
<td>The processes included in the data set are well representative for the geography stated in the &quot;location&quot; indicated in the metadata.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Measured/calculated/literature and plausibility not checked by reviewer, Qualified estimate based on calculations plausibility checked by reviewer</td>
<td>The data (collection date) can be maximum 6 years old with respect to the &quot;reference year&quot; of the data set.</td>
<td>The &quot;reference year&quot; of the data set is maximum 3 years beyond the time validity of the secondary data set</td>
<td>Technology aspects are similar to what described in the title and metadata but merits improvements. Some of the relevant processes are not modelled with specific data but using proxies.</td>
<td>The processes included in the data set are sufficiently representative for the geography stated in the &quot;location&quot; indicated in the metadata. E.g. the represented country differs but has a very similar electricity grid mix profile.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Qualified estimate based on calculations, plausibility not checked by reviewer</td>
<td>The data (collection date) can be maximum 8 years old with respect to the &quot;reference year&quot; of the data set.</td>
<td>The &quot;reference year&quot; of the data set is maximum 4 years beyond the time validity of the secondary data set</td>
<td>Technology aspects are different from what described in the title and metadata. Requires major improvements.</td>
<td>The processes included in the data set are only partly representative for the geography stated in the &quot;location&quot; indicated in the metadata. E.g. the represented country differs and has a substantially different electricity grid mix profile.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Rough estimate with known deficits</td>
<td>The data (collection date) is older than 8 years with respect to the &quot;reference year&quot; of the data set.</td>
<td>The &quot;reference year&quot; of the data set is more than 4 years beyond the time validity of the secondary data set</td>
<td>Technology aspects are completely different from what described in the title and metadata. Substantial improvement is necessary</td>
<td>The processes included in the data set are not representative for the geography stated in the &quot;location&quot; indicated in the metadata.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$T_{IR-EF}$: time representativeness for the elementary flow  
$T_{IR-AD}$: time representativeness for the activity data  
$T_{IR-SD}$: time representativeness for the secondary data set
How to report the DQR for the data sets: The data set shall state as meta-data one numerical value for each DQR criteria (namely $T_{R\bar{i}}$, $G_{R\bar{i}}$, $T_{R\bar{i}}$, $P$) and the total DQR numerical value, always referred to the data set. Data quality shall be provided as text and numbers. The DQR numbers shall be presented as two digits, for the 4 individual criteria Technical Repr., Geographical Repr., Time Repr. and Precision in the field Data quality indicators, under Validation main field. Methodological appropriateness and completeness shall be set as "Not applicable".

5.3. Information relevant for partly disaggregated data sets in ILCD format

Partly disaggregated data sets may contain the following types of sub-processes:

- EF compliant sub-processes (EF compliant secondary data sets from any source, in aggregated or disaggregated form)\(^{19}\)
- ILCD Entry Level compliant sub-processes (ILCD Entry level compliant secondary data sets from any source, in aggregated or disaggregated form)
- Supporting sub-processes (not EF or ILCD compliant)
- Central data set or Reference Process

The central data set shall carry all meta-data information of the entire model, as requested for the LCI results data sets, plus the following additional information:

5.3.1. Data set Type

The entry shall reflect the appropriate data set type: "Partly terminated system"

5.3.2. Complementing processes

Within the field Complementing processes, all and only the central data set’s sub-processes shall be referenced. The UUID and exact name of the complementing process shall be specified.

5.3.3. Complete flow diagram

An additional flow diagram of the model with all sub-processes and their physical relationships (what is linked to what and in which direction, as input or output), as well indicating the system boundaries for the data set shall be provided as a graphic. This graph shall be linked in addition (not replacing the activities’ flow diagram) under Flow diagram(s) or picture(s). The aim is to make it clear for the user which emissions and activities are included in the respective data set and which are not.

A generic template for designing in addition a systematic system boundary diagram is provided in the ILCD Handbook: Specific guide for Life Cycle Inventory (LCI) data sets, Annex E. The use of the template is recommended but not mandatory, other forms can be used as long as all included and excluded Life Cycle stages, activities, processes and flows are identified.

5.4. Supporting sub-processes

This section covers the supporting sub-processes. These are:

\(^{19}\) this covers both EF secondary data sets and user-developed, other EF compliant data sets, including both unit processes and aggregated LCI results
• processes used to structure the model, like life cycle stages or transport mixers, OR they are a combination of several materials and consumables that are processed in the central level-1 process, OR they are the direct emissions of the central level-1 process
• They are not EF/ILCD compliant and cannot be used as stand-alone
• They don’t have to be reviewed or carry a DQR
• They are specifically tied to and shall only be used with their corresponding data set, as part of the disaggregated model

It is important for third parties to be able to identify these data sets, as they must not be used directly and in any other context except together with their corresponding data set. Therefore, the following meta-data information shall be provided:

1. The following meta data fields shall be filled in with the exact information copied from the reference process (see figure 6 in chapter 3) set:
   - Owner of data set
   - Commissioner of data set
   - License type
   - Access and use restrictions

2. The process type shall be set as “unit process, single operation”

3. The field Use advice for data set shall contain the following text:
   “This data set is a supporting sub-data set and must be used exclusively as sub-data set of its corresponding level-1 partly disaggregated data set (see “General comment” field for exact name and UUID).”

4. In General comment the following text shall be reported:
   This sub-process has limited documentation only. For all detailed documentation of the full model to which this data set belongs (such as DQRs, review reports and other technical documentation), please see this process. “[fill in name of the process]” with UUID [fill in corresponding UUID of the process]

5. In order for other software systems to be able to identify the supporting sub-data set as such, the following compliance system (source data set) is to be linked under Compliance declarations in the supporting sub-data set:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compliance declaration</th>
<th>Source dataset UUID</th>
<th>Level of compliance aspect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Footprint non-primary supporting data set</td>
<td>2f8a3ebd-befc-4ea9-a6de-34bb6d426d2f</td>
<td>The quality compliance shall be set at “Not defined”. All other aspects shall be set at “Fully compliant”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Note: to allow users to identify the sub-dataset)</td>
<td>Downloadable at: <a href="https://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/EF-node/showSource.xhtml?uuid=2f8a3ebd-befc-4ea9-a6de-34bb6d426d2f&amp;stock=default">https://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/EF-node/showSource.xhtml?uuid=2f8a3ebd-befc-4ea9-a6de-34bb6d426d2f&amp;stock=default</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Also the reference package-specific compliance systems has to be declared, see point II chapter 5.2.15.
6. The field Workflow and publication status shall be set to Data set finalised; entirely published.

7. As there is no “class” foreseen for these partial “non-processes”, where the classification is not clearly identifiable, they should all be put into “Services” / “Other services”.
6. Modelling requirements

The following methodological requirements shall be fulfilled in order to classify a data set (aggregated or disaggregated) as EF-compliant:

- **Completeness:** All 16 EF impact categories shall be covered in the data set.
- **Water use:** Water use shall be modelled at country level using separate flows for water withdrawal, water release and water evaporation at foreground and background level. For those countries not covered by the EF reference package, a flow with unspecified location shall be used. No macro-regions are available in the flow list. Therefore, for data sets covering continents as a region (e.g., for European data sets) the water flows shall be modelled as the share of the countries that are covered by the data set. For larger macro-regions, such as Rest of the World (RoW), a water flow with unspecified location may be used. Both output and input flows shall match on the level of regionalisation used, meaning that when the output is regionalised, the input shall be regionalised as well; and when the input uses a flow with unspecified location, the related output shall also use a flow with unspecified location. Water balances within a data set at country level shall be analysed by the data provider and negative balances, if any, shall be checked and explained in the meta-data information.
- **Cut-off:** Processes and elementary flows can be excluded up to 3.0%, based on material and energy flow and the level of environmental significance. The processes subject to cut-off have to be made explicit in the documentation and confirmed by the reviewer, in particular with reference to the environmental significance of the cut-off applied.
- **Emissions off-setting:** They shall not be included.
- **Capital goods (including infrastructures) and their end of life:** They shall be included unless they can be excluded based on the 3.0% cut-off rule. The eventual exclusion has to be clearly documented and checked by the reviewer.
- **System boundary:** all processes linked to the product supply chain (e.g. maintenance) shall be included in the system boundary, unless they can be excluded based on the cut-off rule.
- **Time period:** Emissions and removals shall be modelled as if released or removed at the beginning of the assessment period (no time discounting is allowed).
- **Handling multi-functional processes:** The following PEF multi-functionality decision hierarchy shall be applied for resolving all multi-functionality problems: (1) subdivision or system expansion; (2) allocation based on a relevant underlying physical relationship (substitution may apply here); (3) allocation based on some other relationship. Regarding agricultural processes, the rules provided for the “agricultural modelling” below apply.
- **All "energy", "transport", "packaging" and "End Of Life" processes** used to model the newly developed data set shall be those (in aggregated form) available on the Thinkstep LCDN node "http://lcdn.thinkstep.com/Node/" in the proper data stock in line with the version of the EF reference package used.
- **Duplicated product or waste flows,** are not allowed (at input or output side)
- **Duplicated elementary flows** at input or output side are allowed.
- **The flow type 'other flows'** shall not be used in the modelling.
- **"Reference to Reference flow" field:** A single reference flow is required, which can be an input or output flow and shall be defined in the data set.
- **LCIA results:** LCIA results shall be provided in the dedicated LCIA results section of the ILCD formatted data sets, as characterised results of the 16 EF impact categories\(^20\). The results shall be calculated using the latest available EF reference package (available at [http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/LCDN/developerEF.xhtml](http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/LCDN/developerEF.xhtml)) and using the calculation tool Look@LCI (available at: [http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/LCDN/developerEF.xhtml](http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/LCDN/developerEF.xhtml)).

---

\(^20\) Unless decided otherwise at the kick-off meeting.
- **Wastes** or other materials/activities that are part of the system boundary shall be modelled until the elementary flow level. For example, industrial process waste included in the system boundary and shall be modelled until the elementary flow level.

- **The Circular Footprint Formula (CFF)** shall be implemented at least for the products in scope of the data set. More in specific (i) to the materials that constitute the product in scope and the related waste treatments and (ii) to the packaging of the product in scope. The correct point of substitution, the formula and parameters to be used are provided in the latest PEF/OEF method, available at: [http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/EnviromentalFootprint.html](http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/EnviromentalFootprint.html)

- **Electricity modelling**: The country specific consumption mix shall be used to model processes occurring in a specific country. When a country specific mix is not available, the average EU consumption mix (EU+EFTA) or region representative consumption mix shall be constructed and used. Sector specific information on the use of green electricity shall be used if available and if the set of minimum criteria to ensure the reliability of the contractual instruments is met. The criteria met shall be described in the meta-data information and confirmed by the reviewer. This can be combined with the remaining electricity, which shall be modelled with the residual grid mix.

### 6.1. Agricultural modelling


**Crop type specific and country-region-or-climate specific data for yield**, water and land use, land use change, fertiliser (artificial and organic) amount (N, P amount) and pesticide amount (per active ingredient), per hectare per year, should be used.

**Cultivation data** shall be related to a period of time sufficient to provide an average assessment of the life cycle inventory associated with the inputs and outputs of cultivation that will offset fluctuations due to seasonal differences. This shall be undertaken as described in the LEAP guidelines, set out below:

- **For annual crops**, an assessment period of at least three years shall be used (to level out differences in crop yields related to fluctuations in growing conditions over the years such as climate, pests and diseases, et cetera). Where data covering a three-year period is not available i.e. due to starting up a new production system (e.g. new greenhouse, newly cleared land, shift to other crop), the assessment may be conducted over a shorter period, but shall be not less than 1 year. Crops/plants grown in greenhouses shall be considered as annual crops/plants, unless the cultivation cycle is significantly shorter than a year and another crop is cultivated consecutively within that year. Tomatoes, peppers and other crops which are cultivated and harvested over a longer period through the year are considered as annual crops.

- **For perennial plants** (including entire plants and edible portions of perennial plants) a steady state situation (i.e. where all development stages are proportionally represented in the studied time period) shall be assumed and a three-year period shall be used to estimate the inputs and outputs.

Where the different stages in the cultivation cycle are known to be disproportional, a correction shall be made by adjusting the crop areas allocated to different development stages in proportion to the crop areas expected in a theoretical steady

---

state. The application of such a correction shall be justified and reported in the meta-data information.

- **For crops that are grown and harvested in less than one year** (e.g. lettuce produced in 2 to 4 months) data shall be gathered in relation to the specific time period for production of a single crop, from at least three recent consecutive cycles. Averaging over three years can best be done by first gathering annual data and calculating the life cycle inventory per year and then determine the three years average.

**Pesticide emissions** shall be modelled as specific active ingredients. The pesticides applied on the field shall be modelled as 90% emitted to the agricultural soil compartment, 9% emitted to air and 1% emitted to water. More specific data might be used if available, documented in the meta-data and reviewed.

**Fertiliser (and manure) emissions** shall be differentiated per fertilizer type and cover as a minimum:

- NH₃, to air (from N-fertiliser application)
- N₂O, to air (direct and indirect) (from N-fertiliser application)
- CO₂, to air (from lime, urea and urea-compounds application)
- NO₃, to water unspecified (leaching from N-fertiliser application)
- PO₄, to water unspecified or freshwater (leaching and run-off of soluble phosphate from P-fertiliser application),
- P, to water unspecified or freshwater (soil particles containing phosphorous, from P-fertiliser application).
- N emissions to soil shall not be modelled. The amount of emissions ending up in the different air and water compartments per amount of fertilisers applied on the field shall be modelled within the LCI. For nitrogen based fertilisers, the Tier 1 emissions factors of IPCC 2006 (Table 2-4) should be used, as reported in the latest PEF/OEF method, available at: [http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/EnviromentalFootprint.html](http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/EnviromentalFootprint.html)

**Heavy metal emissions** from field inputs shall be modelled as emission to soil and/or leaching or erosion to water. The inventory to water shall specify the oxidation state of the metal (e.g., Cr⁺³, Cr⁺⁶). As crops assimilate part of the heavy metal emissions during their cultivation, clarification is needed on how to model crops that act as a sink. Two different modelling approaches are allowed in the PEF/OEF method, available at: [http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/EnviromentalFootprint.html](http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/EnviromentalFootprint.html) the approach chosen shall be described in the meta-data information.

**Methane emissions** from rice cultivation shall be included based on the calculation rules of IPCC (2006) (Volume 4, Chapter 5.5, page 44-53).

**Drained peat soils** shall include carbon dioxide emissions on the basis of a model that relates the drainage levels to annual carbon oxidation

The following activities shall be included in the system boundaries of agricultural modelling, if applicable and not falling within the cut-off criteria:

- Input of seed material (kg/ha),
- Input of peat to soil (kg/ha + C/N ratio),
- Input of lime (kg CaCO₃/ha, type),
- Machine use (hours, type) (to be included if there is high level of mechanisation),
- Input N from crop residues that stay on the field or are burned (kg residue + N content/ha). Including emissions from residues burning,
- Drying and storage of products shall always be included.
- Unless it is clearly documented that operations are carried out manually, field operations shall be accounted for through total fuel consumption or through inputs of specific machinery, transports to/from the field, energy for irrigation, etc.
6.2. Climate change modelling

**Fossil and biogenic carbon emissions and removals**: removals and emissions shall be modelled as follows:

**Time period**: Emissions and removals shall be calculated as if released or removed at the beginning of the assessment method (no time discount is allowed).

**GHG emissions – fossil**: These flows account for greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions to any media originating from the oxidation and/or reduction of fossil fuels by means of their transformation or degradation (e.g. combustion, digestion, landfilling, etc.) This impact category includes emissions from peat and calcination, and uptakes due to carbonation. Fossil CO\(_2\) uptake and corresponding emissions (e.g. due to carbonation) shall be modelled in a simplified way when calculating the PEF profile (meaning, no emissions or uptakes shall be modelled). When the amount of fossil CO\(_2\) uptake is required for additional environmental information, the CO\(_2\) uptake may be modelled with the flow “CO\(_2\) (fossil), uptake from air”.

**Modelling requirements**: The flows falling under this definition shall be modelled consistently with the list of elementary flows available in the latest EF reference package. The names ending with '(fossil)' (e.g., 'carbon dioxide (fossil)' and 'methane (fossil)') shall be used if available.

**Carbon emissions and uptakes – biogenic**: This category covers carbon emissions to air (CO\(_2\), CO and CH\(_4\)) originating from the oxidation and/or reduction of aboveground biomass by means of its transformation or degradation (e.g. combustion, digestion, composting, landfilling) and CO\(_2\) uptake from the atmosphere through photosynthesis during biomass growth – i.e. corresponding to the carbon content of products, biofuels or above ground plant residues such as litter and dead wood. Carbon exchanges from native forests\(^{22}\) shall be modelled under the indicator 'climate change – land use and land transformation' (including connected soil emissions, derived products or residues).

**Modelling requirements**: The flows falling under this definition may be included in the LCI of the data set. If the flows are modelled they shall be included by using the list of elementary flows in the latest EF reference package available, and the flow names ending with '(biogenic)'. A mass allocation shall be applied to model the biogenic carbon flows. The biogenic carbon content of the product at factory gate shall be reported as meta-data information.

**Carbon emissions – land use and land use change**: This category accounts for carbon uptakes and emissions (CO\(_2\), CO and CH\(_4\)) originating from carbon stock changes caused by land use change and land use. This category includes biogenic carbon exchanges from deforestation, road construction or other soil activities (including soil carbon emissions). For native forests, all related CO\(_2\) emissions are included and modelled under this sub-category (including connected soil emissions, products derived from native forest\(^{23}\) and residues), while their CO\(_2\) uptake is excluded.

**Modelling requirements**: The flows falling under this definition shall be modelled consistently with the list of elementary flows of the latest EF reference package available, and using the flow names ending with '(land use change)'. Biogenic carbon uptake and emissions have to be inventoried separately for each elementary flow. For **land use change**: All carbon emissions and removals shall be modelled following the modelling

\(^{22}\)Native forests – represents native or long-term, non-degraded forests. Definition adapted from table 8 in Annex V C (2010)3751 to Directive 2009/28/EC. In principle this definition excludes short term forests, degraded forests, managed forest, and forests with short-term or long-term rotations.

\(^{23}\)Following the instantaneous oxidation approach in IPCC 2013 (Chapter 2).
guidelines of PAS 2050:2011 (BSI 2011) and the supplementary document PAS2050-1:2012 (BSI 2012) for horticultural products.

Soil carbon emissions derived from aboveground residues (except from native forest) shall be modelled under category "biogenic", such as the application of non-native forest residues or straw. Soil carbon uptake (accumulation) via improved agricultural management shall be excluded from the modelling and may be reported as meta-data.
7. How to structure and document nodes and data stocks

Each EF compliant data set shall be published on a node free of choice by the data developer. A node shall carry different data stocks. Each data stock shall be named starting with the version number of the EF reference package it is compliant with (e.g., EF2_0_representative_products).

Single EF compliant data sets provided by industries can be published upon request by the JRC on a node managed by the European Commission. The data provider stays responsible for the quality, compliance and review declarations of the data sets.

A data stock may contain both ILCD- and EF-compliant data sets. Any data set contained in the same data stock SHALL be compliant with the reference flow list of the same EF reference package. On the landing page of the node the data stock structure shall be explained and the following text may be used:

*Within this node, each data stock may contain both EF compliant data sets and/or ILCD-compliant data sets. The nomenclature of all data sets contained within one data stock is compliant with the same EF reference package mentioned in the data stock name. Please carefully check the compliance level of the data sets.*

The End User License Agreement shall be linked from the landing page of the node, where a contact email address shall be provided as well. The developer of the data shall ensure that the new data set is made available on a node that provides these user rights, and requests the user of the data sets to agree on the conditions of the EULA.

For each new node and also for each data stock, a corresponding source data set shall be generated by the developer of the node. Such a source data set shall carry a description and a hyperlink to the node or data stock, respectively. Examples for both are given below.

*Figure 9. Example source data set pointing to a node*

*Figure 10. Example source data set pointing to a specific data stock*
8. Reviewer requirements for Environmental Footprint process data sets and review report template

8.1. Eligibility

Reviewer’s requirements are used to assess the eligibility of reviewers and are automatically applied in the Reviewer Registry (http://epica.jrc.ec.europa.eu/ResourceDirectory/), accessible through the European Platform on Life Cycle Assessment http://epica.jrc.ec.europa.eu/). The registry allows the selection of specific requirements, including the Environmental Footprint (for critical review) and ILCD Entry Level (EL) requirements (JRC-2016)\(^24\). The Reviewer’s Registry can be used for the selection and the eligibility assessment of the reviewers.

The minimum requirements for reviewer’s eligibility adopted for the ILCD-EL requirements, are to be applied for the review of EF Data sets (see table 3). A set of minimum requirements for reviewers under the EF scheme (both as single reviewers or reviewers’ teams) are also described in the Recommendation 2013/179/EU, however this defines the scoring system for CRITICAL review (chapter 9), thus the EF eligibility in the Reviewer Registry refers to that scheme, not to the one requested for normal data review.

Table 3. Minimum requirements for reviewer’s eligibility in the EF and ILCD-EL compliance. The reviewer skills can also be fulfilled by a team (e.g. one of the reviewer fulfils the minimum requirements for LCA experience and another one the sector-specific minimum requirement).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>ILCD EL and EF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Verification and audit practice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of experience 1</td>
<td>&gt; 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of reviews 2</td>
<td>&gt; 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA methodology and practice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of experience 3</td>
<td>&gt; 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in LCI work 4</td>
<td>&gt; 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge of technologies or other activities, per sector covered 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NACE main sector</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of experience in public and/or private organisations</td>
<td>&gt; 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Experience in auditing and review in the environmental field not only LC-based;  \(^2\) As reviewer, LCA (ISO, ILCD or EF compliant) or EPDs or LCI data sets, other LC-based requirements;  \(^3\) Starting from Master’s degree if mainly focused on LCA;  \(^4\) Development/modelling of LCI data sets (documented);  \(^5\) Experience by specific macro sector (NACE), at any level (work, monitoring, management, R & D, etc.)

8.2. Reviewer types

As regards the relationship of the reviewer/team with the data developer or provider, the following cases can be identified:

- **Independent external reviewer/team**: the reviewer shall not be involved in the definition or development of the reviewed case. This includes both the reviewer as a person and the employer (if any) as an organisation. The person or team has to be external, and without relevant relations for at least 1 year to any organisation that performed, commissioned, financed or otherwise had relevant influence on the study to be reviewed. The phrase ‘relevant relations’ includes financial (beyond the agreement for the review itself and other reviews in the same framework, which are of course allowed), legal or similar ties that would result in a conflict of interest such as subsidies, joint-venture partners, development partners, sales partners, or any other strategic cooperation partners.

- **Independent internal reviewer/team**: the reviewer shall not be involved in the study to be reviewed, or quantitatively relevant parts (e.g. background data) but can be part of the organisation that performed or commissioned the LCA work (or related third party organisations).

- **Dependent internal reviewer/team**: the reviewer can be involved in the study to be reviewed, or quantitatively relevant parts (e.g. background data) and part of the organisation that performed or commissioned the LCA work. This type of reviewer is defined by ISO standard, but is not eligible in the schemes considered in this report.

Five different review types are identified according to the type of reviewer and composition of the review team (see table 4). **In the EF requirements only the first two cases are allowed**

Table 4. Type of review identified in the EF scheme (the term “reviewer” refers to a single reviewer or a team fulfilling the minimum requirements mentioned above). Only types 1 and 2 are allowed in the EF data review.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Typology and number of reviewers</th>
<th>Type 1</th>
<th>Type 2</th>
<th>Type 3</th>
<th>Type 4</th>
<th>Type 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>at least 3 independent reviewers, with at least 1 external</td>
<td>Two independent reviewers, with at least 1 external</td>
<td>Two independent internal reviewers</td>
<td>One independent external reviewer</td>
<td>One independent internal reviewer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

8.3. **Requirements for the review reports**

Under the EF requirements a review report is mandatory. The detailed review report template presented in Table 5 shall be filled in by the team. Only one review report per data set is allowed, together with its single DQR, agreed upon and signed off by the reviewer team. The report shall be attached to the data set on the node under the field “complete review report” (within the validation table) and made visible in the EF registry of the Life Cycle Data Network.

In Table 5, all review compliancy aspects shall be answered with "Yes" or have to be fulfilled to claim EF compliancy. In case these items are answered with "no", the data set shall be improved to remove the non-compliances.

If a data set is updated in core content (i.e. LCI, DQRs, LCIA results etc.) a partial review on the new content of the data set is required to be compliant.

### Table 5. EF review report template, with comments. Comments and suggestion on how to fill in the different fields are reported in italic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REVIEW REPORTING</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>General information</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data set name</td>
<td>Name of the data set, e.g. Electricity grid mix 1 kV-60 kV; AC; consumption mix, at consumer; 1 kV-60 kV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data set UUID and version number</td>
<td>Unique Identifier (UUID) of the data set (the filename is a 36 digits alphanumeric code with the following structure xxxxxxxx-xxxx-xxxx-xxxx-xxxxx-xxxxx-xxxxx-xxxxx-xxxxx-xxxxx-xxxxx-xxxxx-xxxxx-xxxxx-xxxxx-xxxxx)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data set locator (e.g. Permanent URI, URL, contact point, or database name and version, etc.)</td>
<td>Permanent URI, URL, contact point, or database name and version, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review commissioner(s)</td>
<td>Owner of the data set or data set/database developer or supplier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reviewer name(s) and affiliation(s), contact</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review type applied, and compliance with EF requirements for review</td>
<td>Reviewer type 1 or 2 (see table 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method used for review, and review scope</td>
<td>Description of the method adopted to perform the review: e.g. for review of a large number of data sets, specify if each data set has been reviewed by checking each single data point, or if the underlying general model was reviewed + spot check of some parameters in all data sets, or + spot check of all parameters in x% of data sets, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of review completion</td>
<td>(DD/MM/YYYY)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reviewed against/Compliance system name</td>
<td>PEF/OEF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compatibility with EF reference package (Version)</td>
<td>Declare the version of EF reference package used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OVERALL COMPLIANCE ASSESSMENT</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aspect</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance with specific EF requirements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allocation rules clearly explained and consistent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circular Footprint Formula (correct implementation)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Documentation describing the implementation of the CFF and parameters used is clear with respect to the above checks.

LCIA results consistency

Compare the LCIA results inside the data set(s) with the results calculated with Look@LCI26. Discrepancies >1% are not EF Compliant.

**Nomenclature**

Correctness and consistency of applied nomenclature (use of Specific EF reference package; Correct nomenclature of other flows, processes etc.)

Documentation is or is not EF compliant (content-wise)? It enables a fair appraisal of the data set or not? Which information are detailed? Which are lacking (if any)? Metadata are detailed enough and respecting ILCD entry-level and additional EF requirements?

Documentation

Appropriateness of documentation. See Document 'Documentation of LCA data sets27' and additional EF data guide above.

Appropriateness / correctness of documentation format (ILCD Format)

The ILCD format is respected? The document has been validated with the ILCD validator. The uncompliant aspects (if any) have been solved? (in order to share data through the LCDN the data package has to be submitted in correct ILCD format).

Validation with ILCD validator

If “no”, indicate which aspects are not compliant

DQRs

The reviewer takes the responsibility of the DQR declaration. Only one DQR per data set and expressed with two digits (X.X)28. It can be either calculated by the reviewer or the data set developer. The reviewer shall certify the correctness of the values.

Cut-off

Verify the compliance with PEFCR guidance on the cut-off rules applied in the model

Additional Information can be added if needed.

Documents referred or accessed by the reviewer (either public or confidential) shall be added as references.

The reviewer shall close and sign the review report with an EF compliancy declaration: "The reviewers declare on their responsibility that the reviewed data set is compliant with the Environmental Footprint general and specific compliance rules."

---

26 Calculation tool developed by JRC and available with user instructions at http://epica.jrc.ec.europa.eu/LCDN/developerEF.xhtml


28 To be calculated with 4 criteria (Technical Repr., Geographical Repr., Time Repr. and Precision). The methodological appropriateness and completeness shall be set to 'Not applicable'.
9. Intellectual property rights

Developers of EF compliant data sets shall (i) check if the user rights of EF background data sets used are fulfilled and (ii) transfer these user rights to their own data set if necessary.

For example: EF background data sets made available by the European Commission services (e.g., energy or transport data sets available at http://lcdn.thinkstep.com/Node/), carry the user rights presented below. Data sets using one of these EF background data sets shall therefore carry the same user rights. In this case, the developer of the new data set shall ensure that the new data set is made available on a node that provides these user rights and requests the user of the newly developed data set to agree on them upon its use.

The license information shall be stored under the field Publication and ownership within the process data set (part of the info will be visible in the public metadata, under License and access conditions) filled with the following Information:

Copyright
Yes

License type
Free of charge for some user types or use types

Access information
URL (Link) of the EULA document, e.g. for EF data provided by Thinkstep: http://www.gabi-software.com/fileadmin/gabi/EULA_European_Commission_-_use_of_thinkstep_LCI_data.pdf

9.1 User rights of EC tendered data sets:

9.1.1.Intellectual property rights to the European Union

It is agreed that the contractor shall grant to the European Union, under the conditions set forth below, the non-exclusive right to use the datasets consisting out of: life cycle impact assessment (LCIA) results, metadata, and LCI Inventory ("datasets") supplied as part of this contract under the conditions and in the scope as set out therein.

The datasets, may be used for any of the following modes of exploitation:

(a) use for its own purposes:
- making available to the staff of the contracting authority
- making available to the persons and entities working for the contracting authority or cooperating with it, including contractors, subcontractors whether legal or natural persons, Union institutions, agencies and bodies, Member States' institutions
- installing, uploading, processing
- arranging, compiling, combining, retrieving.
- making publicly available PEF/OEF study results, without including the LCI data of the individual datasets supplied under this contract.

(b) modifications by the contracting authority or by a third party in the name of the contracting authority:
- shortening
summarizing  
changing and creating variants of any isolated component or part in any other form, colour or proportion  
modifying of the content  
assemble and incorporate, any isolated component or part thereof, in any other work or document, by any means and using any technical or artistic process  
making technical changes to the content:  
- necessary correction of technical errors  
- adding new parts or functionalities  
- changing functionalities  
- providing third parties with additional information concerning the result with a view of making modifications  
addition of metadata, for text and data-mining purposes; addition of right-management information; addition of technological protection measures;  
reformatting, extracting or incorporating a part or dividing into parts  
preparation of a derivate work  
digitise, modulate, compress, decompress or use all other technical processes of the same type for the purpose of the storage, transfer, IT processing, adaptation and/or use thereof  
reformat  
modifying dimensions  
translating, inserting subtitles, dubbing in different language versions.  
The above-mentioned licence over pre-existing rights shall be valid until 31st December 2024. The tenderer shall also agree, through this contract, to extend the validity of the access rights for the original datasets delivered as part of the contract XXX until 31st December 2021, under the same conditions.  
In addition, any dataset derived by the European Union on the basis of the datasets supplied by the contractor shall remain the exclusive and permanent property of the European Union.  
In addition, any modification of metadata and/or LCIA level of the DATASET supplied by the contractor shall be the exclusive and permanent property of the European Union. For clarification, the original LCI of the DATASET, irrespective of any modification or deviation by the European Union or any other user or third party stays the exclusive rights of the contractor  
The terms "derived" and "modified" are used here as defined in the EULA, Annex 4 of this document. Till 31 December 2024 the distribution of the derived and modified datasets, as described in the foregoing sentence, by the contracting authority shall be limited to subcontractors whether legal or natural persons, Union institutions, agencies and bodies, Member States' institutions.  
It is agreed that the contractor shall license, free of charge and on a non-exclusive basis to the European Union, under the conditions set forth below, the intellectual property rights attached to the "metadata" defined above and disclosed within the scope of this contract.  
For any result other than the LCI datasets, LCIA results and metadata, the Union acquires ownership in accordance with Article I.9 and Article II.13.1 of the contract.  

9.1.2. Intellectual property rights granted to the final user

It is agreed that tenderer shall grant to any final user, through an existing or dedicated node in the Life Cycle Data Network, the non-exclusive right to use the LCI datasets and

29Metadata is all content of a process dataset, excluding the Exchanges (i.e. the inputs and outputs of the LCI) and the LCIA results section. The metadata supplied by the contractor and/or developers that make it possible to identify the geographical, time-related and technological representativeness, the sources, the system boundaries, etc.
metadata supplied as part of this contract under the conditions and in the scope as set out herein.

The right of use shall include the rights to use, reproduce and adapt the datasets for all media and using all channels, methods and processes, whether known or unknown on the date hereof, for derivative use as part of the datasets to be used for implementing PEF or OEF studies in compliance with any of the PEFCRs/OEFSRs developed during the environmental footprint transition phase or those listed at [http://ec.europa.eu/environment/eussd/smgp/PEFCR_OEFSR_en.htm](http://ec.europa.eu/environment/eussd/smgp/PEFCR_OEFSR_en.htm). The Commission cannot be considered responsible for any misuse of the datasets made available through any of the tenders launched under this contract.

The right of use includes also the possibility to use the datasets when developing new EF-compliant datasets but only if all the following conditions are met:

i. the datasets shall be used only to implement a PEFCRs/OEFSRs available at [http://ec.europa.eu/environment/eussd/smgp/PEFCR_OEFSR_en.htm](http://ec.europa.eu/environment/eussd/smgp/PEFCR_OEFSR_en.htm);

ii. the datasets will be available for free in a EF-registry node of the European Commission (http://eplica.jrc.ec.europa.eu/LCDN/datasetListEF.xhtml) for the same duration and at the same conditions as those included in the present contract.

The right of use includes the right to distribute all the datasets, or a sub-set of them, as part of commercial or open source software. The EF-compliant datasets shall be made available as “add-on” to any commercial database (i.e. they should not be already available in the original database) and they shall be released only after explicit approval from the user of the EULA text provided in Annex 4.

The reproduction right includes the right to reproduce all or part of the datasets on all current and existing media and, in particular:

- the right to digitize the datasets, to save them on all media, to modulate, compress, decompress or use all other technical processes of the same type with regard to the digitized datasets for the purpose of the storage, transfer, IT processing, adaptation and/or use thereof;

The adaptation right includes the right to modify, reformat, extract or incorporate the datasets or any part thereof, assemble and incorporate the datasets, or any isolated component or part thereof, in any other work or document, by any means and using any technical or artistic process.

Said granting of rights shall be valid for the entire world and till 31st December 2024. The tenderer shall also agree, through this contract, to extend the validity of the access rights for the original datasets delivered as part of the contract [XXX] until 31st December 2021, under the same conditions.

It is understood between the parties that the price for granting of rights of use is included in the remuneration owed to the contractor.

### 9.1.3. Harmonised End User Licence Agreement (EULA) template

The data set(s) developer shall use this template for the EULA applicable to the data set(s) delivered. The text highlighted in yellow shall be filled in as appropriate.

### 9.1.4. End User License Agreement

IMPORTANT READ CAREFULLY: This End-User License Agreement ("EULA") is a legal agreement between you ("YOU" or "FINAL USER") (either an individual or a single entity) and [XXX] (LICENSOR). BY CLICKING "I ACCEPT", DOWNLOADING, MODIFYING OR USING THE DATA, DATASETS OR DATA BASE ("DATASET") OR ACCESSING THEM IN ANY
OTHER WAY, YOU AGREE TO THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THIS EULA. IF YOU DO NOT AGREE OR ARE NOT A FINAL USER AS DEFINED IN THIS EULA, YOU HAVE TO STOP THE USE OF THE DATASET IMMEDIATELY, YOU ARE NOT ALLOWED TO COPY OR USE THE DATASET; YOU HAVE TO DELETE ALL DOWNLOADED DATASET ON ALL MEDIA. IF YOU ARE NOT A FINAL USER AS DEFINED UNDER THIS EULA, YOU HAVE TO CONTACT THE LICENSOR FOR THE USE OF THE DATASETS UNDER [provide an email contact].

1. Definition

“LICENSOR”: XXXX

“DATASET”: any of the Life Cycle Inventory (LCI) datasets or Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA) results datasets that are published by the LICENSOR in the International Reference Life Cycle Data System (ILCD) data format

“FINAL USER”: Any person or organisation (or their contactors via them) using one or more of the datasets made available through this tender when carrying out a PEF or OEF study in compliance with any PEFCR/OEFSR listed in the Commission web page: http://ec.europa.eu/environment/eussd/smgp/PEFCR_OEFSR.htm.

Any third party (either an individual or single entity), who is not defined as Final User under this EULA, is only allowed to use the DATASET after prior written approval of LICENSOR and under additional conditions.

„REGULAR PRODUCT ENVIRONMENTAL FOOTPRINT (PEF) STUDIES AND ORGANISATION ENVIRONMENTAL FOOTPRINT (OEF) STUDIES“: Studies that are developed and used in compliance with most updated version of the European Commission’s Product Environmental Footprint (PEF) and Organisation Environmental Footprint (OEF) methods and any other technical document developed by DG ENV in the context of the Environmental Footprint transition phase.

"MODIFIED"/"MODIFICATIONS" refers to having omitted, changed or added information of a DATASET, resulting in a dataset that however still represents semantically the same or a very similar product system as the original DATASET. For example, but not limited to, changing, adding or removing emission flows or values in the combustion process of a truck, with the truck still meant to represent the same truck size, year and region of operation etc., or removing or changing dataset documentation information. This does not refer to changed storage form or format of a DATASET.

"DERIVED" refers to a DATASET that was obtained by combining via mathematical operations two or more DATASETS, or by combining at least one DATASET with substantial additional information and/or third party datasets, resulting in a different dataset for a semantically different product system (such as, but not limited to, the same product but for a different country that is not among the DATASETS under this EULA, or (example for level-1 disaggregated DATASETS) using a different electricity or transport process in the database.

2. Grant of License

The LICENSOR grants the FINAL USER the non-exclusive, non-transferable, and non sublicensable license for the use of the Life Cycle Data for implementing regular Product Environmental Footprint (PEF) studies and Organisation Environmental Footprint (OEF) studies exclusively under the specified Product groups and sectors as defined in the Product Environmental Footprint Category Rules (PEFCR) and Organisation Environmental Footprint Sectorial Rules (OEFSR) listed in http://ec.europa.eu/environment/eussd/smgp/PEFCR_OEFSR.htm and in accordance with the terms and conditions of this EULA exclusively until 31st December 2024 (PERMITTED USE).
In case a DATASET has a defect, it will be repaired and replaced within (i) the same data stock with an upgraded version and same UUID, or (ii) a separate data stock with a different UUID and reference to the original DATASET. The End user is fully aware that the use of former dataset versions is at the sole risk of FINAL USER.

NOTE that the PEFCRs and OEFSRs specify among others for which parts of the life cycle model primary data from industry must be used, i.e. PEF/OEF studies without such primary data are not regular PEF or OEF studies.

3. Description of other Rights and Limitations

The LICENSOR grants to the FINAL USER the right to use, reproduce and adapt the DATASET for all media and using all channels, methods and processes whether known or unknown on the date hereof for the PERMITTED USE.

The Right of reproduction includes the right to reproduce all or part of the DATASET on all current and existing media and in particular the right to digitalize the DATASET; save them on media; modulate; compress, decompress or use all other technical processes of the same type with regard to the digitized DATASET for the purpose of the storage, transfer, IT processing, adapting and or use thereof.

The adaption right includes the right to modify, reformat, extract or incorporate the DATASET or any part thereof, assemble and incorporate the DATASET, or any isolated component or part thereof in any other work or document, by any means and using any technical or artistic process.

Any use beyond this aforementioned PERMITTED USE or by other persons or entities than the FINAL USER is only allowed with the prior written consent of the LICENSOR and against an additional charge.

4. Reservation of rights and Ownership

The DATASET allocated by LICENSOR are copyright protected universally. Additionally, the license terms of other software or database manufacturers apply for the software tools or databases integrated in the Database and DATASETS as described in the conditions of this EULA, if applicable.

No patents, copyrights, trademarks or any other kind of property rights of the data, datasets, database, software or any other material shall be transferred to the FINAL USER by granting the rights under this EULA.

5. Limitation on Reverse engineering, decompilation and disassembly

You may not reverse engineer, decompile or disassemble the DATASET, unless granted otherwise under this EULA.

6. No Rental, no Hosting; provisions for public communication

You are not allowed to use the DATASET:

- for any other purpose than the PERMITTED USE as defined under this EULA, including for commercial, non-commercial or educational purposes.

- lease, license, sell or distribute the original or MODIFIED DATASET, or DERIVED DATASETS, for free or against a fee, and independently whether in ILCD format or any other form or format.

- add, insert or/and distribute original DATASET, MODIFIED or DERIVED DATASETS in whole or/and part of such datasets into/within databases or other tools owned/licensed by FINAL USER or any other third party.

- not allowed to grant other parties, that are not FINAL USER or project partners under this EULA access to the DATASET, MODIFIED or/and DERIVED DATASETS

The permitted exceptions for any third party are:
(1) the distribution of the original DATASET as part of commercial or open source software, provided that the DATASET is made available as “add-on” to any commercial database (i.e. they should not be already available in the original database) and only after explicit approval from the user of this EULA.

(2) for free among project partners (entities or persons) implementing a specific PEF or OEF study in compliance with one of the PEFCRs and OEFSRs listed at http://ec.europa.eu/environment/eussd/smgt/PEFCR_OEFSR.htm and meeting the other requirements under this EULA. These conditions also apply analogously to DATASETs that were already previously redistributed. In case the dataset documentation is required for PEF or OEF study reporting purposes, the metadata can be distributed in the study, but excluding the life cycle inventory and LCIA results of the DATASET.

(3) Distribution for free of a PEF or OEF “LCI result” (aggregated) dataset as one form of DERIVED DATASET is permitted. The distributed PEF or OEF LCI result dataset must retain a reference to the fact that one or more DATASETs under this present license, provided by the LICENSOR have been used, and an electronic reference to the data node [introduce node address] is to be included in visible form for the user.

The reference to be used of a single dataset shall contain as minimum the following details: "Thinkstep AG (2019-2024): LCI datasets for EU Environmental Footprinting (EF) implementation 2019-2024. <DATASET’s complete Name>, <DATASET’s Location>, <DATASET’s Reference year>, <DATASET’s UUID>, <DATASET’s Version number>. http://cdn.thinkstep.com. Date of download XXX”. For several datasets or whole stocks the specific source file generated by the node owner shall be used and the date of download shall be reported.

Distribution of other types of DERIVED DATASETs that are not PEF or OEF results or of any original DATASET, MODIFIED DATASET or DERIVED DATASETs against charges or fees is not permitted.

Any public, written or oral communication by the Final User or any third party referring the DATASETs (including but not limited to websites, newsletters, articles, scientific papers, oral presentations, webinars, slide shows, etc.) has to identify in a prominent and well visible place the source of the DATASETs with the same citations as specified more above.

7. Third Party Content

The DATASET might have been developed by using third party data or other content, and the FINAL USER has to respect the license terms for the affected content, if applicable. Such content will be marked. The use of such content has no legal consequences for the FINAL USER as long as he keeps within the scope of the preceding contractual terms.

8. Additional Service

In the event the FINAL USER wishes to use additional Data, Datasets, Software or any other material of the LICENSOR, the LICENSOR will grant to FINAL USER additional access by entering an additional license agreement against additional charge.

9. Remuneration

The use of the DATASET for the PERMITTED USE and under the conditions of this EULA is for free by the FINAL USER.

Any other or exceeded use of the DATASET or the use of further Datasets or material of LICENSORS database or any other material not covert by this EULA is subject to additional charge. In this event you are obliged to pay the LICENSOR the remuneration for this additional Service according to Licensor´ s actual price list, with retroactive effect from the date of such use.

10. Defects
The LICENSOR shall provide the DATASET in accordance with the standards of skill and care reasonably excepted from a leading service provider in the LICENSOR’s industry to the European Commission. A defect occurs if the DATASET can’t be used, downloaded or modified as granted under this EULA, or has errors in the data inventory itself or errors the metadata (documentation) that relevantly and negatively affect the correct use of the DATASET and the arising defect cannot be dealt with using organizational means. Any other discrepancy of the PERMITTED USE does not represent a defect. The FINAL USER is to notify the LICENSOR via Email to [introduce a contact email address] immediately about any defects that arise or are suspected to have arisen in form of DATASETs defects. The arising circumstances and explanations/justifications have to be described in detail by FINAL USER. In the event a defect occurs, LICENSOR’s only liability will be the repair or the replacement of the affected DATASET. The repaired or replaced DATASET will be available exclusively via the same dedicated ILCDN node as the other DATASETs. The FINAL USER has no further claim arising from such defect.

11. Term and Termination
This EULA and the hereunder granted PERMITTED USE are effective until 31 of December 2024. After this date the right to use the DATASET or any other Material provided will cease automatically.

Upon this date you have to stop the download and any other kind of use of the DATASETS. If you wish to continue using the DATASETS, you need to enter into a license agreement with the LICENSOR with effect from the 1st of January 2025, or later start date of use.

LICENSOR’s right to terminate this EULA for cause shall remain unaffected. Cause shall include, but is not limited to, the following:

a) if the FINAL USER continuously and despite repeated warning violates against the duties arising from this EULA;
b) resells against a fee the original DATASETs, MODIFIED or DERIVED DATASETs, or distributes any MODIFIED DATASETs, or distributes the original DATASETs with different conditions than those allowed by this EULA;
c) modifies or changes DATASETs in a not permitted way;
d) uses the original or MODIFIED DATASETs for any other purpose than the PERMITTED USE.

12. Warranties
The LICENSOR warrants that the DATASET will be provided under the conditions of this EULA until the 31st December 2024. The LICENSOR do not warrant the accuracy and completeness of the DATASETS. The LICENSOR do not warrant any quality of the DATASET beyond the stated data quality as documented and reviewed in the dataset documentation. The LICENSOR do not warrant the suitability of the DATASET for FINAL USERs intended purpose. The use of any DATASET by the FINAL USER is at the FINAL USER’s own risk.

13. Liability, Limitation and Exclusion
THE DATASETS ARE PROVIDED BY THE LICENSOR "AS ARE" AND ANY EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE ARE DISCLAIMED. IN NO EVENT SHALL THE LICENSOR BE LIABLE FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL, EXEMPLARY, OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES (INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, PROCUREMENT OF SUBSTITUTE GOODS OR SERVICES; LOSS OF USE, DATA, OR PROFITS; OR BUSINESS INTERRUPTION) HOWEVER CAUSED AND ON ANY THEORY OF LIABILITY, WHETHER IN CONTRACT, STRICT LIABILITY, OR TORT (INCLUDING NEGLIGENCE) ARISING IN ANY WAY OUT OF THE USE, DISTRIBUTION OR MODIFICATION OF ANY OF THESE DATASETS, EVEN IF ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGE. The LICENSOR is only liable in the event of mandatory Liability defined by law. For each case LICENSORS liability is limited to 5€ in the maximum. The FINAL USER will indemnify and hold the LICENSOR free against all claims, liability, damages, cost and
expenses arising out of or related to FINAL USER´s breach of these terms and conditions of the EULA.

Any user which is not allowed to use the DATASETs, extends the PERMITTED USE or is in breach of any of the provisions of this EULA is liable for any damage or loss caused by the use of the Datasets (including but not limited to license fees, profit, direct and consequential losses and damages).

14. Maintenance of the Database and restricted Access

The LICENSOR shall provide the Maintenance service on the DATASET in accordance with the standards of skill and care reasonably excepted from a leading service provider in the LICENSOR´s industry to the European Commission, including node maintenance, upgrading of operating system, deployment of uploads of the software running the node, keeping and making the DATASETs available to the FINAL USER. Notwithstanding the aforementioned, the FINAL USER is not entitled to make claims for such service. The DATASETS are not foreseen to be updated. Maintenance will exclusively be done in case of defects. The free access to and use of repaired or replaced DATASETs and the usage of the support service per email are included in this EULA, if applicable. In the Event the LICENSOR has the suspicion of misuse of the support Service, the LICENSOR reserves the right to limit or stop for the period of 10 business days the support service for this FINAL USER. The LICENSOR has the discretion to decide if a case of misuse has occurred. In such event the FINAL USER has no right to raise an objection against the decision.

15. Applicable Law

This EULA and all claims arising out of this agreement are subject to the [introduce the most appropriate reference] under the exclusion of international civil law.

Provided the FINAL USER is a legal entity or a merchant, LICENSOR´s place of business – [introduce as appropriate] – shall have exclusive jurisdiction unless another place of jurisdiction is mandatory by [introduce member state reference] Law. LICENSOR is nevertheless entitled to invoke the aid of any other judicially competent court.

Place of performance is [introduce as appropriate].

Any changes of this EULA are subject to the written form. The requirement for written form is fulfilled if the transfer is made via facsimile or email.

16. The entire Agreement

The clauses of these EULA apply to the whole use of the DATASET between the LICENSOR and the FINAL USER. They also apply to future transactions even if they are not referred to explicitly. Other additional terms and conditions of the FINAL USER are excluded.

17. Severability Clause

Should individual clauses of these EULA be or become partially or completely ineffective, or should there be a loophole in these terms and conditions, the validity of the rest of the clauses will not be affected.
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ANNEX I allowed regionalized elementary flows

Beyond the flows related to Land Use and Water Use, for which all the global location (country) codes are allowed, the regionalization is also possible for the following substances and UUIDs, and only for the specified location codes.

Table 6. Flows, beyond water use and land use where the regionalization is allowed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Location Codes</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ammonia</td>
<td>08a91e70-3ddc-11dd-96ae-0050c2490048</td>
<td>Emissions to urban air close to ground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sulfur dioxide</td>
<td>08a91e70-3ddc-11dd-96ae-0050c2490048</td>
<td>Emissions to lower stratosphere and upper troposphere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nitrogen dioxide</td>
<td>08a91e70-3ddc-11dd-96ae-0050c2490048</td>
<td>Emissions to air, unspecified (long-term)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sulfur dioxide</td>
<td>08a91e70-3ddc-11dd-96ae-0050c2490048</td>
<td>Emissions to urban air close to ground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sulfur dioxide</td>
<td>08a91e70-3ddc-11dd-96ae-0050c2490048</td>
<td>Emissions to urban air close to ground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sulfur dioxide</td>
<td>08a91e70-3ddc-11dd-96ae-0050c2490048</td>
<td>Emissions to urban air close to ground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sulfur dioxide</td>
<td>08a91e70-3ddc-11dd-96ae-0050c2490048</td>
<td>Emissions to non-urban air or from high stacks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sulfur dioxide</td>
<td>08a91e70-3ddc-11dd-96ae-0050c2490048</td>
<td>Emissions to non-urban air or from high stacks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sulfur dioxide</td>
<td>08a91e70-3ddc-11dd-96ae-0050c2490048</td>
<td>Emissions to lower stratosphere and upper troposphere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen oxides</td>
<td>191b44d4-90c9-465a-8802-93a651bf41d2</td>
<td>Emissions to non-urban air or from high stacks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen oxides</td>
<td>1c952836-ea05-43db-90e3-0c5e13e465af</td>
<td>Emissions to lower stratosphere and upper troposphere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen oxides</td>
<td>2f69fbd-e428-44e1-8c33-9dd6e53310c</td>
<td>Emissions to air, unspecified (long-term)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen oxides</td>
<td>e57ebc3-0a3b-4c38-9a2a-13e427253b</td>
<td>Emissions to air, unspecified (long-term)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen oxides</td>
<td>f79d0f8f-2b0e-49cb-bed0-b1e90f9b8ed5</td>
<td>Emissions to air, unspecified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sulfur dioxide</td>
<td>fe0ac60-3ddc-11dd-ac49-0050c2490048</td>
<td>Emissions to urban air close to ground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sulfur dioxide</td>
<td>fe0ac60-3ddc-11dd-ac48-0050c2490048</td>
<td>Emissions to urban air close to ground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sulfur dioxide</td>
<td>fe0ac60-3ddc-11dd-ac4c-0050c2490048</td>
<td>Emissions to lower stratosphere and upper troposphere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sulfur dioxide</td>
<td>fe0ac60-3ddc-11dd-ac4b-0050c2490048</td>
<td>Emissions to non-urban air or from high stacks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sulfur dioxide</td>
<td>fe0ac60-3ddc-11dd-ac4a-0050c2490048</td>
<td>Emissions to non-urban air or from high stacks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sulfur dioxide</td>
<td>fe0ac60-3ddc-11dd-ac4e-0050c2490048</td>
<td>Emissions to lower stratosphere and upper troposphere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sulfur dioxide</td>
<td>2905c69e-6555-11dd-adfb-08002009a66</td>
<td>Emissions to lower stratosphere and upper troposphere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sulfur dioxide</td>
<td>fe0ac60-3ddc-11dd-a20b-0050c2490048</td>
<td>Emissions to air, unspecified (long-term)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sulfur dioxide</td>
<td>fe0ac60-3ddc-11dd-a20e-0050c2490048</td>
<td>Emissions to air, unspecified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sulfur dioxide</td>
<td>fe0ac60-3ddc-11dd-a20a-0050c2490048</td>
<td>Emissions to urban air close to ground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sulfur dioxide</td>
<td>fe0ac60-3ddc-11dd-a20f-0050c2490048</td>
<td>Emissions to non-urban air or from high stacks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sulfur dioxide</td>
<td>fe0ac60-3ddc-11dd-a209-0050c2490048</td>
<td>Emissions to non-urban air or from high stacks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. Location codes and related countries allowed for the above mentioned flows

| AL | Albania | DE | Germany | HU | Hungary | NO | Norway |
| AT | Austria | DK | Denmark | IE | Ireland | PL | Poland |
| BA | Bosnia and Herzegovina | EE | Estonia | IT | Italy | PT | Portugal |
| BE | Belgium | ES | Spain | LT | Lithuania | RO | Romania |
| BG | Bulgaria | FI | Finland | LU | Luxemburg | RU | Russian Federation |
| BY | Belarus | FR | France | LV | Latvia | SE | Sweden |
| CH | Switzerland | GB | United Kingdom | MD | Moldova | SI | Slovenia |
| CS | Serbia And Montenegro* | GR | Greece | MK | Macedonia | SK | Slovakia |
| CZ | Czech Republic | HR | Croatia | NL | Netherlands | UA | Ukraine |

* Still allowed but to be changed in RS for Serbia, and ME for Montenegro
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